

**IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR AND OF THE
OKLAHOMA INTERCOLLEGIATE LEGISLATURE**

WIL M. CRAWFORD,
President Pro Tempore of the Senate,

Petitioner,

-vs.-

COREY D. SHIREY,
Director of Technology,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No. _____

PETITION

COMES NOW Wil M. Crawford, Petitioner herein, *pro se*, and states his Petition as follows:

1. Corey D. Shirey, hereafter Respondent, is a member of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature who currently serves in the capacity of Director of Technology and Information Systems. Petitioner is also a member of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature who currently serves in the capacity of President Pro Tempore of the Senate. This case stems from differing interpretations of the Statutes and Constitution of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature. This Court being the sole and supreme judicial authority of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature, jurisdiction and venue are proper herein.
2. On or about the 27th day of October 2018, Petitioner made certain updates to the Senate page of the organizational website, okoil.org/senate/, pertaining to the appointed leadership of that chamber of the Legislature.
3. On or about the 28th day of October 2018, Respondent sent an email to Petitioner, among others, alleging that the Statutes of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature dictate that the Office of the Director of Technology has the sole authority to make alterations to the organization's website.
4. On or about the 28th day of October 2018, Respondent placed a phone call to Petitioner, wherein Respondent alleged that Petitioner's actions in updating the Senate page of the website were unlawful under the Statutes of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature. Respondent further alleged that Respondent or his designees, pursuant to the Statutes of the Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature, were the only individuals with the authority to make alterations to the website.
5. Respondent's allegations are a misrepresentation of the letter of the law of this organization, and should therefore be declared null and void. If Respondent's allegations are not a misrepresentation of law, then the law represents an unconstitutional breach of the separation of powers of the organization, and the law should be struck down as unconstitutional.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that judgment be entered in favor of Petitioner and against Respondent, and respectfully requests that Petitioner be granted the following relief:

1. A declaration that Respondent's allegations are a misrepresentation of the laws of this organization and are therefore null and void; or
2. If Respondent's allegations are not a misrepresentation of law, then a declaration that said law is unconstitutional on the grounds of its violation of the separation of powers of the organization and is therefore null and void; and
3. Such other relief that this Court deems proper and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,



Wil M. Crawford
President Pro Tempore of the Senate
Oklahoma Intercollegiate Legislature
(405) 203-5121 | crawfordwil17@gmail.com

